

Oral presentations

Number	Paper title and presenting author
01	Radiological prediction of nodal response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy: A re-audit of local surgery protocol change <i>Valentine Mberu, University of Dundee, Dundee</i>
02	A hypercoagulant tumour microenvironment promotes breast cancer progression, with effects inhibited by anticoagulants <i>Emma Blower, Manchester Cancer Research Centre, The Oglesby Cancer Research Building, University of Manchester, Manchester</i>
03	Impact of lactational training and nipple evaluation during pregnancy in reducing lactational mastitis and breast abscess <i>Agnimita Giri Sarkar, ICH and Disha for Cancer, Kolkata, India</i>
04	MAMMA (mastitis and mammary abscess management audit): Phase 1 interim results <i>Alona Courtney, Imperial College London, London</i>
05	Trends in the Nottingham prognostic index between 1983 and 2014 derived from the SEER 9 cancer register <i>Louise Merker, Weston General Hospital, Weston-Super-Mare, United Kingdom</i>
06	Breast cancer management in the over 80s: Surgery offers a survival benefit for the many, not the few <i>Louise Merker, North Bristol NHS Trust, Bristol</i>
07	The IBRAnet localisation study; A national cohort study of wire-guided and magseed-guided localisation for impalpable breast lesions <i>Rajiv Dave, The Nightingale Centre, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester</i>
08	Incidence and outcome of breast sarcomas in NHS England (2013-2018): An analysis from Public Health England (PHE) national cancer registration and analysis service (NCRAS) <i>Mahbubl Ahmed, University College London Hospitals, London</i>
09	Litigation regarding surgery to the breast in England 2012-2018 <i>Rachel O'Connell, Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, London</i>
10	Patterns of contralateral symmetrisation, completion and revision following immediate breast reconstruction: Early results from the brighter long-term breast reconstruction outcome study <i>Shelley Potter, Bristol Medical School, Bristol</i>
11	Long term follow-up of morbidity following excision of primary breast tumour in patients over 70 <i>Risha Lane, On behalf of the East Anglia SSC Breast Network</i>
12	Staged unilateral versus immediate bilateral symmetrisation in therapeutic mastoplasty: A sensitivity analysis of patient level costs <i>Yasmin Grant, Imperial College London, London</i>
13	Predictors of inaccurate pre-operative size assessment of screen detected DCIS <i>Cliona Kirwan, University of Manchester, Manchester</i>
14	Factors leading to the late diagnosis and poor outcomes of breast cancer in Matabeleland South and the Bulawayo Metropolitan Provinces in Zimbabwe <i>Munyaradzi Magara, National University of Science and Technology Medical School, Bulawayo, Zimbabwe</i>

15	Single centre experience of the Hologic localizer system <i>Risha Lane, East Suffolk and North Essex Foundation Trust, Colchester</i>
16	Efficient management of new patient referrals: The safe introduction of an advanced nurse practitioner (ANP) led telephone breast pain service <i>Claire Robinson, Manchester University Foundation Trust, Manchester</i>
17	A quality improvement project evaluating the application of a primary care referral screening tool for patients presenting to their GP with breast pain <i>Suzanne Halliday, Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals, Brighton</i>
18	Post-mastectomy radiotherapy in older women with early invasive breast cancer - Data from the national audit of breast cancer in older patients (NABCOP) <i>Katie Miller, Clinical Effectiveness Unit, Royal College of Surgeons of England; Department of Health Services Research & Policy, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London</i>
19	Variation in the use of adjuvant radiotherapy and endocrine therapy after surgery for ductal carcinoma in situ in the UK <i>Karen Clements, Public Health England, London, United Kingdom</i>
20	Determining the clinical value of Oncotype Dx recurrence score on diagnostic core biopsy to predict response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with breast cancer: Systematic review/meta-analysis <i>Michael Boland, St. Vincent's Hospital, Dublin, Ireland</i>
21	Prepectoral versus subpectoral implant-based immediate breast reconstruction: Medium-term outcome comparison from 1022 cases <i>Chris Munson, The Nightingale Centre, Wythenshawe Hospital, Manchester</i>
22	Recovery of activities of daily living post breast cancer surgery: An observational prospective questionnaire-based study of patients undergoing mastectomy with or without immediate reconstruction <i>Laura Balance, Royal Lancaster Infirmary, Lancaster</i>
23	Comparative study of short-term outcomes of chest wall perforator flap versus therapeutic mastoplasty <i>John Mathew, North West Anglia NHS Foundation Trust, Peterborough</i>
24	Partial breast reconstruction with chest wall perforator flaps - Initial data from 'PartBreRecon' collaborative <i>Amit Agrawal, Cambridge University Hospitals, Cambridge</i>
25	Factors influencing the decision for one versus two stage perforator flap reconstruction - A hypothesis generating look at a single unit case series <i>Jen Isherwood, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford</i>
26	Early results from the Pre-BRA IDEAL 2a/2b prospective multicentre cohort study to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of prepectoral breast reconstruction <i>Kate Harvey, Bristol Centre for Surgical Research, University of Bristol, Bristol</i>
27	Assessing body composition in breast cancer patients: concordance between CT analysis and BIA estimates <i>Alex Daly, University of Southampton, Southampton</i>
28	Nomograms to depict post-MRI probability of pathological complete response (pCR) in breast cancer patients undergoing neo-adjuvant systemic treatment (NAST) <i>Manoj Gowda S, University Hospitals of North Midlands, Stoke-on-Trent</i>
29	Systematic review and metaanalyses of prognostic value of circulating tumour cells in early breast cancer <i>Nisar Mahmood, Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital NHS Trust, Shrewsbury</i>
30	Short term outcome of pre-pectoral implant-based reconstruction versus sub-pectoral implant-based reconstruction using acellular dermal matrix <i>John Mathew, North West Anglia NHS Foundation Trust, Peterborough,</i>